	Case 2:20-cv-01048-WBS-DMC Docume	ent 3 Filed 03/20/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIE PAUL VIGIL, JR.,	Case No. 1:20-cv-00404-JDP
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SAN JOSE DIVISION OF THE NORTHERN
13	v.	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	JOE A. LIZZARAQA,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner Willie Paul Vigil, Jr., a state prisoner without counsel, seeks a writ of habeas	
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. ECF No. 1. The petitioner is challenging a conviction from	
19	Santa Clara County, which is part of the United States District Court for the Northern District of	
20	California. Petitioner is currently incarcerated in Amador County, which is part of the	
21	Sacramento Division of this court. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), venue is proper in the judicial	
22	district where the petitioner was convicted or the judicial district where petitioner is incarcerated.	
23	Therefore, both the Northern District of California and the Sacramento division of this court have	
24	concurrent jurisdiction. See § 2241(d). However, because petitioner is attacking the validity of	
25	his Santa Clara County criminal sentence, we will transfer this case to the Northern District of	
26	California for the ease and convenience of the parties and the court. See id.	
27	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action that has not been commenced in the	
28		1

	Case 2:20-cv-01048-WBS-DMC Document 3 Filed 03/20/20 Page 2 of 2		
1	appropriate court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred. Therefore, we will transfer this		
2	action.		
3	Order		
4	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of		
5	California, San Jose division.		
6	2. All future filings shall reference the new San Jose case number assigned and shall be		
<ul><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	United States District Court for the Northern District of California San Jose Division Robert F. Peckham Federal Building and United States Courthouse		
10	San Jose, CA 95113		
11	3. The court has not yet ruled on petitioner's outstanding motion for counsel. ECF No. 2.		
12 13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14 15	Dated: March 20, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
16			
17	No. 206.		
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		